

TOGETHER AGAINST SIZEWELL C (TASC) DEADLINE 3 SUBMISSION SIZEWELL C PLANNING APPLICATION INQUIRY (IP no. 20026424)

COMMENTS ON APPLICANT'S DOCUMENT 9.11 REGARDING CARBON EMISSIONS

CC.1.3 & CC.1.5 In their answer to question CC.1.3, the Applicant states that they have updated their analysis of the construction carbon footprint and calculated a revised carbon footprint of 3.8Mt. This figure compares to 6.2Mt stated in the documents submitted in January 2021. TASC have been unable to find a detailed explanation for this huge change in figures. TASC would like to see a detailed explanation for this change, setting out how the two figures have been calculated and a reconciliation of the differences. As was mentioned in CC.1.5, TASC's view is that there is a lack of transparency in information supplied in relation to carbon calculations and in TASC's opinion the Applicant's answers continue this trend. The LCA appears to provide data by way of percentages but offers no reconciliation of absolute figures in terms of the carbon debts arising from the relevant contributory elements and the calculations that use these figures to produce the summarised figures in the LCA report. Elements of the SZC project will likely decarbonise at different rates. The Applicant needs to explain what assumptions have been adopted in their revised calculations and which transport strategies have been assumed.